

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|----------------------------|------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/749,938 | | 12/31/2003 | Michael Swafford | 50037.0216US01 6670 | | |
| 27488 | 7590 | 07/28/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| MERCHAI P.O. BOX 2 | | OULD (MICROSO | IWASHKO, LEV | | | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2186 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | 10/749,938 | SWAFFORD ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | • | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | Lev I. Iwashko | 2186 | |
| Period fo | | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address – | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | |
| / | · | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 31 December 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objectordrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Seed on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| Priority ι | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| a)l | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 4/13/2004. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | | |

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. There were no cancelled or amended claims in the Applicant's arguments.
- 2. Claims 1-30 stand rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following are quotations of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 4. Claims 1-9, 11-19, and 22-29 are rejected under U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Abrashkevich et al. (US PGPub 2004/0221120 A1).
 - Claim 1. A method for tagging an allocable memory block, comprising: (Abstract, lines 1-3 State the following: "A data structure, method and system are provided incorporating a general purpose memory allocator and defensive heap memory manager)

Art Unit: 2186

- determining the identity of a routine performing one of requesting the allocable memory block, requesting the size of the allocable memory block, and freeing the allocable memory block; (Section 0039, lines 1-13 – State the following: "After receiving a request for allocating a memory pool, the memory manager performs all necessary size alignments and adjustments related to the specified memory debug mode (they are described below), allocates a new memory pool from available free heap space, creates and initializes a pool header (specific pool header data structures are described below), updates heap header data using a heap handle provided, and inserts a free block of the size of total pool space minus pool header size and memory debug data size into a skip list of free memory blocks. The heap memory manager returns to the requesting process a pool handle which in a preferred embodiment contains, but is not limited to, the following information...)

Page 3

- generating an identifier for the routine; (Section 0039, lines 15-19 Declare a pool identifier)
- and storing the identifier in the allocable memory block. (Section 0041, lines 1-3 State that the "pool" is stored in an allocable memory block)
- Claim 2. The method of claim 1, further comprising examining the heap to determine the presence of memory errors. (Section 0029, lines 8-22 Describe how the heap is examined for memory errors)
- Claim 3. The method of claim 1, further comprising performing a checksum on the allocable memory block and (Section 0066, lines 18-21 State that there is a checksum done on an allocable memory block)
 - storing the results of the checksum within the allocable memory block.

 (Section 0070, lines 15-32 Show how the checksum is stored in a allocable memory block)

Art Unit: 2186

Claim 4. The method of claim 3, further comprising examining the results of the checksum to determine the presence of memory errors. (Section 0068, lines 1-7 – State that the checksum is examined to see if there are errors)

Page 4

- Claim 5. The method of claim 1, wherein the identifier is the return address of the identified routine. (Section 0039, lines 16-19 State the following: "a pool identifier which in a preferred embodiment is the unique user's pool offset value, for example an offset from the heap starting address to the beginning of a pool header without walls and any memory debug attachments")
- Claim 6. The method of claim 1, further comprising writing a memory overwrite detection pattern within the allocable memory block. (Section 0075, lines 67-71 Claim a "memwrite" function (which copies data into a specified area), a "memcheck" function (which checks the memory using specified options, and a "memlist" function (which detects a list of allocated memory blocks))
- Claim 7. The method of claim 6, wherein the memory overwrite detection pattern is written within an area of the allocable memory block that is used for alignment purposes. (Section 0075, lines 67-68 Claim a "memwrite" function which copies "data of a given size to a specified block". This allows for alignment)
- Claim 8. The method of claim 1, wherein an identifier is generated and stored for a routine that requests the allocable memory block and (Section 0038, lines 11-18 State the following: "The memory manager returns to the requesting process a heap handle which in a preferred embodiment contains, but is not limited to, the following information: 1) starting address of a memory heap or shared memory segment; 2) a flag containing a set of heap options for each bit that is set on and 3) a heap identifier. This heap handle is used for all memory requests involving memory pools including freeing the heap.")

Art Unit: 2186

- an identifier is generated and stored for a routine that frees the memory block. (Section 0039, lines 15-22 – State the following: "a pool identifier which in a preferred embodiment is the unique user's pool offset value, for example an offset from the heap starting address to the beginning of a pool header without walls and any memory debug attachments, and 4) actual pool offset from the heap starting address. This pool handle is used for all memory requests involving memory blocks including freeing the pool")

Page 5

- Claim 9. The method of claim 1, further comprising storing a heap index for the allocable memory block within the allocable memory block, wherein the heap index points to one of a plurality of heaps. (Section 0003, lines 3-6 State the following: "The manager allocates a block of requested size from the heap and returns a handle or pointer to the block that is then typically used by the requesting program to access the block.")
- Claim 11. A computer-readable medium having computer-executable components for tagging an allocable memory block, comprising: (Abstract, lines 1-3 State the following: "A data structure, method and system are provided incorporating a general purpose memory allocator and defensive heap memory manager. Figure 1, Number 424 Shows a computer readable medium)
 - a first component that is arranged to determine the identity of a routine performing one of requesting the allocable memory block, requesting the size of the allocable memory block, and freeing the allocable memory block; (Section 0039, lines 1-13 State the following: "After receiving a request for allocating a memory pool, the memory manager performs all necessary size alignments and adjustments related to the specified memory debug mode (they are described below), allocates a new memory pool from available free heap space, creates and initializes a pool header (specific pool header data structures are described below), updates heap header data using a

Art Unit: 2186

heap handle provided, and inserts a free block of the size of total pool space minus pool header size and memory debug data size into a skip

list of free memory blocks. The heap memory manager returns to the

Page 6

requesting process a pool handle which in a preferred embodiment contains, but is not limited to, the following information...)

- a second component that is arranged to generate an identifier for the routine; and (Section 0039, lines 1-22 – Describe how the memory manager acts as an identifier generator)

- a third component that is arranged to store the identifier in the allocable memory block. (Section 0039, lines 1-22 Describe how the memory manager stores the identifier in the allocable memory block)
- Claim 12. The computer-readable medium of claim 11, further comprising an examination component that is arranged to examine the heap to determine the presence of memory errors. (Section 0029, lines 8-22 Describe how the heap is examined for memory errors)
- Claim 13. The computer-readable medium of claim 12, further comprising a checksum component that is arranged to perform a checksum on the allocable memory block and (Section 0066, lines 18-21 State that there is a checksum done on an allocable memory block)
 - storing the results of the checksum within the allocable memory block.

 (Section 0070, lines 15-32 Show how the checksum is stored in a allocable memory block)
- Claim 14. The computer-readable medium of claim 13, further comprising a checksum examination component that is arranged to examine the results of the checksum to determine the presence of memory errors. (Section 0068, lines 1-7 State that the checksum is examined to see if there are errors)
- Claim 15. The computer-readable medium of claim 11, wherein the identifier is the return address of the identified routine. (Section 0039, lines 16-19 State the following: "a pool identifier which in a preferred embodiment is the

Art Unit: 2186

Page 7

unique user's pool offset value, for example an offset from the heap starting address to the beginning of a pool header without walls and any memory debug attachments")

- Claim 16. The computer-readable medium of claim 11, further comprising a pattern component that is arranged to write a memory overwrite detection pattern within the allocable memory block. (Section 0075, lines 67-71 Claim a "memwrite" function (which copies data into a specified area), a "memcheck" function (which checks the memory using specified options, and a "memlist" function (which detects a list of allocated memory blocks))
- Claim 17. The computer-readable medium of claim 16, wherein the memory overwrite detection pattern is written within an area of the allocable memory block that is used for alignment purposes. (Section 0075, lines 67-68 Claim a "memwrite" function which copies "data of a given size to a specified block". This allows for alignment)
- Claim 18. The computer-readable medium of claim 11, wherein an identifier is generated and stored for a routine that requests the allocable memory block and (Section 0038, lines 11-18 State the following: "The memory manager returns to the requesting process a heap handle which in a preferred embodiment contains, but is not limited to, the following information: 1) starting address of a memory heap or shared memory segment; 2) a flag containing a set of heap options for each bit that is set on and 3) a heap identifier. This heap handle is used for all memory requests involving memory pools including freeing the heap.")
 - an identifier is generated and stored for a routine that frees the memory block. (Section 0039, lines 15-22 State the following: "a pool identifier which in a preferred embodiment is the unique user's pool offset value, for example an offset from the heap starting address to the beginning of a pool header without walls and any memory debug attachments, and 4) actual pool offset from the heap starting address.

Art Unit: 2186

This pool handle is used for all memory requests involving memory blocks including freeing the pool")

Page 8

Claim 19. The computer-readable medium of claim 11, further comprising an indexing component that is arranged to store a heap index for the allocable memory block within the allocable memory block, wherein the heap index points to one of a plurality of heaps. (Section 0003, lines 3-6 – State the following: "The manager allocates a block of requested size from the heap and returns a handle or pointer to the block that is then typically used by the requesting program to access the block.")

- Claim 21. A system for tagging an allocable memory block, comprising: (Abstract, lines 1-3 State the following: "A data structure, method and system are provided incorporating a general purpose memory allocator and defensive heap memory manager)
 - a computer memory that comprises a heap in which allocable memory blocks can be allocated and freed; (Abstract, lines 11-14 Declare a heap memory)
 - a routine identifier that is arranged to determine the identity of a routine performing one of requesting the allocable memory block, requesting the size of the allocable memory block, and freeing the allocable memory block; (Section 0039, lines 1-13 State the following: "After receiving a request for allocating a memory pool, the memory manager performs all necessary size alignments and adjustments related to the specified memory debug mode (they are described below), allocates a new memory pool from available free heap space, creates and initializes a pool header (specific pool header data structures are described below), updates heap header data using a heap handle provided, and inserts a free block of the size of total pool space minus pool header size and memory debug data size into a skip list of free memory blocks. The heap memory manager returns to

Art Unit: 2186

Page 9

the requesting process a pool handle which in a preferred embodiment contains, but is not limited to, the following information...)

- an identifier generator that is arranged to generate an identifier for the routine; and (Section 0039, lines 1-22 Describe how the memory manager acts as an identifier generator)
- a diagnostic tagger that is arranged to store the identifier in the allocable memory block. (Section 0039, lines 1-22 Describe how the memory manager stores the identifier in the allocable memory block)
- Claim 22. The system of claim 21, further comprising a memory verification system that is arranged to examine the heap to determine the presence of memory errors. (Section 0029, lines 8-22 Describe how the heap is examined for memory errors)
- Claim 23. The system of claim 22, further comprising a memory verification system that is arranged to perform a checksum on the allocable memory block and (Section 0066, lines 18-21 State that there is a checksum done on an allocable memory block)
 - storing the results of the checksum within the allocable memory block.

 (Section 0070, lines 15-32 Show how the checksum is stored in a allocable memory block)
- Claim 24. The system of claim 23, further comprising a memory verification system that is arranged to examine the results of the checksum to determine the presence of memory errors. (Section 0068, lines 1-7 State that the checksum is examined to see if there are errors)
- Claim 25. The system of claim 21, wherein the identifier is the return address of the identified routine. (Section 0039, lines 16-19 State the following: "a pool identifier which in a preferred embodiment is the unique user's pool offset value, for example an offset from the heap starting address to the beginning of a pool header without walls and any memory debug attachments")

Art Unit: 2186

Claim 26. The system of claim 21, further comprising a memory verification system that is arranged to write a memory overwrite detection pattern within the allocable memory block. (Section 0075, lines 67-71 – Claim a "memwrite" function (which copies data into a specified area), a "memcheck" function (which checks the memory using specified options, and a "memlist" function (which detects a list of allocated memory blocks))

- Claim 27. The system of claim 26, wherein the memory overwrite detection pattern is written within an area of the allocable memory block that is used for alignment purposes. (Section 0075, lines 67-68 Claim a "memwrite" function which copies "data of a given size to a specified block". This allows for alignment)
- Claim 28. The system of claim 21, wherein an identifier is generated and stored for a routine that requests the allocable memory block and (Section 0038, lines 11-18 State the following: "The memory manager returns to the requesting process a heap handle which in a preferred embodiment contains, but is not limited to, the following information: 1) starting address of a memory heap or shared memory segment; 2) a flag containing a set of heap options for each bit that is set on and 3) a heap identifier. This heap handle is used for all memory requests involving memory pools including freeing the heap.")
 - an identifier is generated and stored for a routine that frees the memory block. (Section 0039, lines 15-22 State the following: "a pool identifier which in a preferred embodiment is the unique user's pool offset value, for example an offset from the heap starting address to the beginning of a pool header without walls and any memory debug attachments, and 4) actual pool offset from the heap starting address. This pool handle is used for all memory requests involving memory blocks including freeing the pool")

Art Unit: 2186

Claim 29. The system of claim 21, further comprising a memory indexing system that is arranged to store a heap index for the allocable memory block within the allocable memory block, wherein the heap index points to one of a plurality of heaps. (Section 0003, lines 3-6 – State the following: "The manager allocates a block of requested size from the heap and returns a handle or pointer to the block that is then typically used by the requesting program to access the block.")

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 10, 20, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrashkevich et al. as applied to claims 1, 11, and 21 above, further in view of Noel et al. (US Patent 6,381,682).

Abrashkevich teaches the limitations of claims 1, 11 and 21 for the reasons above.

Abrashkevich 's invention differs from the claimed invention in that there is no specific reference to a timestamp.

Abrashkevich fails to teach claims 10, 20, and 30, which all state that the invention is "further comprising a memory timestamp system that is arranged to store a timestamp within the allocable memory block, wherein the timestamp indicates the time when one of requesting and freeing the allocable memory block is performed". However, Noel declares a "timestamp"

Art Unit: 2186

(Column 24, line 31) in her apparatus. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the "Defensive Heap Memory Management" of Abrashkevich with Noel's "Method and Apparatus for Dynamically Sharing Memory in a Processor System" so that a timestamp would be included, so that the time of memory block allocation could be indicated in order to keep the system as efficient and accurate as possible.

Art Unit: 2186

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments (filed April 25, 2006) with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the previous, new and following ground(s) of rejection.

- 8. With regards to Abrashkevich, the Applicant alleges that the art is merely a "publication". However, Under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), the prior art may in fact be a publication if filed before the application filing date. In, this case, all of the criteria were met, so the Applicant's arguments are most in view of the prior art.
- 9. With regards to Claim 1, the Applicant alleges that Abrashkevich does not include a method where "header information identifies allocated memory and does not identify a routine that performs one of requesting the allocable memory block, requesting the size of the allocable memory block, and freeing the allocable memory block". However, the 102(e) rejections above clearly demonstrate that all of the items in the claim are blatantly taught by Abrashkevich (please refer to the underlined portions of Claim 1), so the Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the prior art.
- 10. Independent Claims 11 and 21 stand rejected on the same grounds as Claim 1.
- 11. Claims 2-10, 12-20, and 22-30 stand rejected due to the rejected independent claims upon which the aforementioned claims are based.
- 12. With regards to Claims 10, 20, and 30, the Applicant alleges that Abrashkevich and Noel do not teach a method of "determining the identity of a routine performing one of requesting the allocable memory block, requesting the size of the allocable memory block, and freeing the allocable memory block; generating an identifier for the routine; and storing the identifier in the allocable memory block". However, the 102(e) and 103(a) rejections above clearly demonstrate

Art Unit: 2186

that all of the items in the claim are blatantly taught by Abrashkevich and Noel (please refer to the underlined portions of Claim 1), so the Applicant's arguments are moot in view of the prior art.

Conclusion

13. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lev I. Iwashko whose telephone number is (571)272-1658. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th, from 8-6PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matt Kim can be reached on (571)272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Art Unit: 2186

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lev Iwashko

MATTHEW KIM
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100